Supreme Court of the United States.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Complainant,

vs.

NORTHERN SECURITIES COMPANY, a Corporation, Great Northern Railway Company, a Corporation, and Northern Pacific Railway Company, a Corporation,

Defendants.

The answer of the defendant, the Northern Pacific Railway Company, to the bill of complaint of the State of Washington.

This defendant, reserving to itself all right of ex-

ception to said bill of complaint, for answer thereto, says:

I.

It admits the matters stated in the first and second subdivisions of said bill.

II.

It admits that large quantities of land were granted to the complainant by the acts of Congress mentioned in subdivision III-A of said bill, for the purposes in said acts set forth; but it knows not and has not been informed, save by said bill, and cannot set forth as to its belief or otherwise, whether any, and, if any, what quantity of said granted lands are left or undisposed of, or as to the value, if any, of said lands, or as to the location thereof, or whether much or any of said lands are located in the territory traversed by either of the defendant railway companies, or are vacant or unsettled, or as to what extent, if at all, the value or salability of said lands, or any of them, depends upon competition over the lines of the defendant railway companies, or upon the construction of lines of railway by either of said companies.

It admits that each of said railway companies has heretofore, and as the circumstances of each case warranted, from time to time, built or caused to be built spur or branch lines into territory adjacent to its railway, as well as into new territory; but it denies that such construction has been by reason of rivalry between said companies, or that

the construction of such spur or branch lines has been or will be prevented or lessened or deferred, or in any way affected, by the formation of the Northern Securities Company. And it denies that the matters, or any of them, alleged in said bill, have prevented or hindered, or will, in the future, prevent or hinder the construction, by the complainant, or by any person or corporation thereto authorized by complainant, of any line or lines of railroad that the complainant may deem necessary or advantageous to bring its lands into market and to secure their settlement and occupation.

And it denies each and every averment in said subdivision III-A in said bill contained not herein expressly admitted.

III.

It admits the complainant's ownership, maintenance and operation of the institutions named in subdivision III-B of said bill, and that some portion of the supplies for some of said institutions requires to be and is in fact carried over the lines of one or the other of the defendant railway companies.

As to the other matters stated in said subdivision III-B, this defendant knows not and has not been informed, save by said bill, and cannot set forth as to its belief or otherwise in respect of said matters or any of them.

IV.

It admits the making of large shipments of wheat and other products, merchandise and timber, from and to the places mentioned in subdivision IV of said bill.

It denies that competition between the defendant railway companies for traffic between said places, or any of them, was, prior to the organization of the Northern Securities Company, any sharper or more active, or more productive of lower rates for freight or passengers, than it has been at all times since such organization and still is; and denies that such rates have been, at any time since the organization of said company, or now are, or will be in the future, any higher than if said company had not been formed. On the contrary, it says that since the formation of said company such rates have already been largely reduced, and are now, and will continue to be, lower than those stated in the bill to have been secured by the sharp and active competition therein alleged.

V.

It admits the matters stated in subdivision V of said bill, except that it is not informed and cannot say upon belief or otherwise whether the Great Northern Railway Company is, or ever has been, subject to the constitution, laws, regulations or provisions of the State of Washington, in respect of its internal organization or its interstate or international traffic, or in respect of the right of any

person, or any corporation, to own or hold shares of its capital stock, or whether the Great Northern Railway Company has ever constructed any lines of railway in the States of Washington or Minnesota except the line from St. Cloud to Hinckley, mentioned in said bill, or operates the Eastern Railway of Minnesota by virtue of stock ownership, or otherwise.

It is not informed and cannot say upon belief or otherwise whether Jacob H. Schiff was, on the thirteenth day of November, 1901, or at any time since has been, a director of said Great Northern Railway Company, or whether William P. Clough was at the time of the filing of said bill, or at any time since, a director or officer thereof, or whether James J. Hill mentioned in said bill, was, on November thirteenth, 1901, or ever has been, the owner of, or in possession or control of, or had, on said day, or at any time, subject to his direction or disposition, more than a majority or what proportion of the capital stock of said Great Northern Railway Company.

VI.

It admits the averments of subdivision VI of said bill.

VII.

It admits the incorporation and existence of this defendant and its operation of railways in the State of Washington, but denies its subjection to the con-

stitution, laws, regulations or provisions of said State in respect of its internal organization, or the qualification of its shareholders, or the right of any person or corporation (not being a corporation of the State of Washington) to become an owner and holder of shares of its capital stock, or in respect of its interstate or international traffic.

It admits that the powers of this defendant are delegated to and exercised by a Board of fifteen Directors, and denies that James J. Hill, E. H. Harriman, H. McK. Twombly, Samuel Rae and William Rockefeller, or any of them, were, in the month of April, 1901, members of the Board of Directors of said company or that James J. Hill was a member of said Board at the time of the filing of said bill.

It admits that on the thirteenth day of November, 1901, the total capital stock of this defendant consisted of Eighty Millions of common stock and Seventy-five Millions of preferred stock, the latter of which was subject to retirement as provided by the charter of said company and the agreement under which the same was issued. It denies that on the thirteenth day of November, 1901, or at any time, J. Pierpont Morgan, mentioned in said bill, or said Morgan and other persons acting with him, owned or had in their possession or held under or subject to their control or disposition, upwards of eighty-five per cent or more than a minority of the capital stock of this defendant, then outstanding.

It admits the insolvency of the Northern Pacific Railroad Company and the appointment of receiv-

ers thereof; and the acquisition of its property by this defendant.

It admits that the cities and towns in the State of Washington named in subdivision VII of said bill are points on the lines of each of the defendant railway companies; but it denies that the lines of each of said railway companies between said points were or are parallel, or that said lines competed for freight or passenger traffic between said points in any different manner or to any greater extent, prior to the formation of the Northern Securities Company, than they have at all times done since the formation thereof and will continue to do in the future.

It denies that the lines of the defendant railway companies between the State of Washington and St. Paul and Minneapolis, in the State of Minnesota, were or are parallel to each other, or that they have ever been competing lines, except at a few points, at nearly all of which points they competed and still compete with the lines of other railway companies; and it denies that the defendant railway companies have, at any time, competed with each other for passenger or freight traffic in any other or different manner, or to any greater extent, than they have continuously done since the formation of the Northern Securities Company and will continue to do in the future.

It admits that the lines of the defendant railway companies are the only lines of any single railway company running East and West entirely across the State of Washington, and the only lines running

East and West between Spokane and Seattle; also the only lines of any single railway company traversing, East and West, all the northern tier of states of the United States west of the Mississippi River; but it denies that said lines are the only lines connecting such territory, or territory tributary thereto by rail with the Pacific Ocean.

And it denies each and every statement in subdivision VII of said bill contained not hereinbefore expressly admitted.

VIII.

It admits that in the spring of the year 1901, the Northern Pacific and Great Northern Railway Companies purchased about ninety-eight per cent of the total shares of the capital stock of the Chicago, Burlington and Quincy Railroad Company, and that each of said defendant railway companies thereupon became the owner of and now owns, severally, and in its own sole right, one-half of the shares so purchased, and that said defendant railway companies paid for said shares with their joint bonds, of the description and amount, stated in said bill.

It denies that said bonds were issued by either of said companies in contemplation of placing their lines under a common source of control; and denies that joint ownership or control of the said Chicago, Burlington & Quincy Railroad Company has ever been vested in or exercised by the said defendant railway companies.

And it denies each averment in said subdivision VIII of said bill contained not hereinbefore expressly admitted.

IX.

It admits that the Northern Securities Company is a corporation organized, existing and doing business under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New Jersey; that it was organized on the thirteenth day of November, 1901, with its principal office located in the City of Hoboken, State of New Jersey, and that its Articles of Incorporation are as set forth in said bill and says that said company was organized, to the best of defendant's belief, for the purposes set forth in its said Articles of Incorporation and for no other purpose whatever.

It admits the fact and the date of the retirement of the preferred stock of this defendant, pursuant to a resolution of its Board of Directors, in conformity with its charter and the agreement under which said preferred stock was originally created and issued, and that such retirement was effected in accordance with said articles and agreement, by paying off said stock at par; and that the funds for such payment were procured by the issue and sale of seventy-five millions of the bonds of this defendant, convertible—and which have been converted—into an equal amount of the common stock of said company, and that a preferential right to purchase said bonds was given the common stockholders of this defendant in proportion to their holdings of such common stock.

It is advised and believes that after the formation of the Northern Securities Company and on November twenty-second, 1901, that company did offer to the shareholders of the Great Northern Railway Company to purchase such of their shares as should be offered within sixty days from that date, at $180 per share, and to pay therefor in shares of its own capital stock at par.

Except as aforesaid, it says that the Northern Securities Company, to the best of this defendant's knowledge and belief, has not offered or sought to purchase any stock of either of said railway companies in exchange for its own shares.

It says that said company has also purchased for cash, as this defendant is informed and believes, a large amount of the shares of the defendant railway companies, and of other companies.

Except as hereinbefore stated or admitted, it denies each and every matter alleged in subdivision IX of said bill.

X.

It denies each and every allegation of subdivision X of said bill.

XI.

It denies each and every allegation of subdivision XI of said bill except that it admits and avers upon information and belief that every transfer of shares of either of the defendant railway companies by the

holder thereof to the Northern Securities Company was absolute and such holder thereupon ceased to be such or to have any interest in the shares so transferred or to have any interest in such railway company.

XII.

It denies each and every allegation of subdivision XII of said bill.

XIII.

It admits that the articles of association of the Northern Securities Company contain the provisions stated in subdivision XIII of said bill. It denies on information and belief that there was ever any agreement between said James J. Hill and J. Pierpont Morgan, or between them or either of them and any other person, that the first Board of Directors of said company should be named by said J. Pierpont Morgan, or that only such persons should be designated members of such Board as were first approved by said James J. Hill or that said James J. Hill was elected or designated president of said company in furtherance of any such plan.

It admits that the first Board of Directors of the Northern Securities Company was elected on November fifteenth, 1901, and was composed of the persons named in said bill, and that said Board elected the Executive Officers of said company named in said bill; and that James J. Hill and E.

T. Nichols were at that date, and now are, respectively, the President and the Secretary of said Great Northern Railway Company.

But it denies upon information and belief that William P. Clough was, at the date of said election, an officer of the Great Northern Railway Company.

It denies on information and belief that said Board of Directors was designated by J. Pierpont Morgan and James J. Hill, or that their election was a part of the pretended plan and conspiracy, or was had pursuant to the pretended illegal agreement or scheme, alleged in said bill.

It is not informed and cannot state whether said James J. Hill and his associate directors and officers of the Northern Securities Company own or control a majority of the capital stock of said company, and denies that said company has, at any time, in any manner whatever, dictated the policy or management of this defendant or has ever directed or managed its business or practice, or determined or enforced freight or passenger rates on any of its lines in the State of Washington, or elsewhere, or the manner or means of handling freight or passenger business on any of its lines of railway, or that, so far as this defendant knows or believes, it has any purpose of so doing.

It is informed that the Northern Securities Company has no agent or representative in the State of Washington on whom any legal process may be served, and owns no property of any nature whatever situated in the State of Washington.

It denies that any of the matters alleged in sub-

division XIII of said bill tend to or do create a monopoly in railway traffic in the State of Washington, or elsewhere, or tend to or do deprive said State or its citizens of the privilege of competition in fixing charges or rates of transportation between stations upon the lines of railway of the defendant railway companies within said state.

And it denies each and every averment in said subdivision XIII of said bill contained not hereinbefore expressly admitted.

XIV.

It admits that Sections 14 and 16 of Article XII of the Constitution of Washington are as stated in said bill, but says that what professes to be a statement of section 22 of said Article is garbled and imperfect.

It denies on information and belief that in the organization of the Northern Securities Company the promoters thereof had any of the purposes, objects or designs alleged in said bill, and it denies that the Northern Securities Company, or either of the defendant railway companies, or their stockholders, directors, executive committee, officers, agents or representatives, have at any time had or now have any of the purposes, intents or designs charged in said subdivision or elsewhere in said bill; and denies that they or any of them have done any of the things alleged in said subdivision; and denies that the Northern Securities Company is a carrier or a railroad company, within the meaning

of the Constitution or laws of the State of Washington, or of any other state; or that the acts complained of in said bill or any of them violate or evade the laws of the land or the settled public policy or laws of the State of Washington.

It says, upon information and belief that the Northern Securities Company is the owner and holder of a large amount of property and stocks in other corporations than the defendant railway companies.

And it denies each and every matter in subdivision XIV of said bill, except as is hereinbefore admitted.

XV.

It denies each and every matter and thing alleged in said bill not hereinbefore expressly admitted.

And this defendant denies all and all manner of unlawful combination and confederacy wherewith it is by the said bill charged; without this that if there is any other matter, cause or thing in said bill contained material or necessary for this defendant to make answer unto and not herein or hereby well and sufficiently answered, confessed and avoided, traversed or denied, the same is not true to the knowledge or belief of this defendant; all of which matters and things this defendant is ready and willing to aver, maintain and prove as this Honorable Court shall direct, and humbly prays to be hence dismissed with its reasonable costs and

charges in this behalf most wrongfully sustained.

NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY,

By C. W. BUNN,
General Counsel.

Attest:
R. H. RELF,
(Corporate Seal) Assistant Secretary.
C. W. BUNN,
Solicitor for Defendant.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

IN EQUITY.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,
Complainant,

vs.

NORTHERN SECURITIES COMPANY, a corporation, GREAT NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation, and NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation,
Defendants.

The Replication of the State of Washington, the above-named Complainant, to the Answer of Northern Securities Company, a corporation.

This repliant, saving and reserving to itself all and all manner of advantage of exception, which may be had and taken to the manifold errors, uncertainties, and insufficiencies of the answer of the said defendant

Northern Securities Company, for replication thereunto, saith, that it doth and will aver, maintain, and prove its said bill to be true, certain and sufficient in the law to be answered unto by the said defendant, and that the answer of the said defendant is very uncertain, evasive, and insufficient in law to be replied unto by this repliant; without that, that any other matter or thing in the said answer contained, material or effectual in the law to be replied unto, and not herein and hereby well and sufficiently replied unto, confessed or avoided, traversed or denied, is true; all which matters and things this repliant is ready to aver, maintain, and prove as this honorable court shall direct, and humbly prays as in and by its said bill it hath already prayed.

W. B. STRATTON, AND
W. B. DOUGLAS.
Solicitors for Complainant.

Northern Securities Company, for replication thereun-
to, saith, that it doth and will aver, maintain, and
prove his said bill to be true, certain and sufficient in
the law to be answered unto by the said defendant, and
that the answer of the said defendant is very uncertain,
evasive, and insufficient in law to be replied unto by
this replicant; without that, that any other matter or
thing in the said answer contained, material or effect-
ual in the law to be replied unto, and not herein and
hereby well and sufficiently replied unto, confessed or
avoided, traversed or denied, is true; all which matters
and things this replicant is ready to aver, maintain, and
prove as this honorable court shall direct, and humbly
prove as in and by his said bill it hath already proved.

W. H. STRATTON, and

W. H. DOUGLAS,

Solicitors for Complainant.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

IN EQUITY.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,
Complainant,

vs.

NORTHERN SECURITIES COMPANY, a corporation, GREAT NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation, and NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation,
Defendants.

The Replication of the State of Washington, the above-named Complainant, to the Answer of Great Northern Railway Company, a corporation.

This repliant, saving and reserving to itself all and all manner of advantage of exception, which may be had and taken to the manifold errors, uncertainties, and insufficiencies of the answer of the said defendant

Great Northern Railway Company, for replication thereunto, saith, that it doth and will aver, maintain, and prove its said bill to be true, certain and sufficient in the law to be answered unto by the said defendant, and that the answer of the said defendant is very uncertain, evasive, and insufficient in law to be replied unto by this repliant; without that, that any other matter or thing in the said answer contained, material or effectual in the law to be replied unto, and not herein and hereby well and sufficiently replied unto, confessed or avoided, traversed or denied, is true; all which matters and things this repliant is ready to aver, maintain, and prove as this honorable court shall direct, and humbly prays as in and by its said bill it hath already prayed.

W. B. STRATTON, AND
W. B. DOUGLAS.
Solicitors for Complainant.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

IN EQUITY.

THE STATE OF WASHINGTON,
Complainant,

vs.

NORTHERN SECURITIES COMPANY, a corporation, GREAT NORTHERN RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation, and NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation,
Defendants.

The Replication of the State of Washington, the above-named Complainant, to the Answer of Northern Pacific Railway Company, a corporation.

This repliant, saving and reserving to itself all and all manner of advantage of exception, which may be had and taken to the manifold errors, uncertainties, and insufficiencies of the answer of the said defendant

Northern Pacific Railway Company, for replication thereunto, saith, that it doth and will aver, main ain, and prove its said bill to be true, certain and sufficient in the law to be answered unto by the said defendant, and that the answer of the said defendant is very uncertain, evasive, and insufficient in law to be replied unto by this repliant; without that, that any other matter or thing in the said answer contained, material or effectual in the law to be replied unto, and not herein and hereby well and sufficiently replied unto, confessed or avoided, traversed or denied, is true; all which matters and things this repliant is ready to aver, maintain, and prove as this honorable court shall direct, and humbly prays as in and by its said bill it hath already prayed.

W. B. STRATTON, AND
W. B. DOUGLAS.
Solicitors for Complainant.